UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION.

MDL No. 1456

CIVIL ACTION: 01-CV-12257-PBS

Judge Patti B. Saris

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

State of Nevada v. Abbott Labs., Inc. et al., Case No. CV02-00260

State of Nevada v. American Home Products, et al., CA No. 02-CV-12086-PBS

State of Montana v. Abbott Labs., Inc., et al. D. Mont. Cause No. CV-02-09-H-DWM

OPPOSITION OF THE STATES OF NEVADA AND MONTANA TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THEIR EMERGENCY MOTION FOR AN ORDER HOLDING PLAINTIFFS IN CONTEMPT, FOR PRESERVATION OF POTENTIALLY RELEVANT DOCUMENTS, AND FOR AN ACCOUNTING OF SPOLIATED DOCUMENTS

The States of Nevada and Montana oppose defendants' motion for leave to file a reply brief in support of their pending emergency motion on two bases. First, the Court's local rules do not provide for a reply in support of motion absent the agreement of the parties or leave of Court. The States did not agree for the very reasons that the States now oppose the reply submission. The defendant drug manufacturers have had an ample opportunity to present their arguments to the Court. Notwithstanding their protestations to the contrary, the belatedly filed reply adds nothing to defendants' original positions. Second, the defendants should not be allowed to wait 14 days after the States' scheduled filing to submit additional materials in support of a motion it claimed was an "emergency" and to which they expected the States to respond on an expedited basis. The very nature of the materials submitted by defendants merely confirms the earlier evidence: no witness and no party has identified any document that the States have destroyed. In fact, the witnesses' testimony was unanimous: they either did not have responsive information or preserved it. Thus, no sanction is warranted and the motion should be denied.

For all of these reasons, the States of Montana and Nevada respectfully ask the Court to deny the defendants' motion for leave to file a reply in support of their pending motion.

By /s/ Steve W. Berman DATED: January 11, 2006.

Steve W. Berman Sean R. Matt HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

Thomas M. Sobol Edward Notargiacomo HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 225 Franklin Street, 26th Floor Boston, MA 02110

Telephone: (617) 482-3700 Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS STATE OF MONTANA AND STATE OF NEVADA

Brian Sandoval Attorney General of the State of Nevada L. Timothy Terry Assistant Attorney General 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4714 Mike McGrath Attorney General of Montana Jon Ellingson Assistant Attorney General Justice Building 215 North Sanders P.O. Box 201401 Helena, MT 56920-1402 (406) 444-2026

Joseph P. Mazurek CROWLEY, HAUGHEY, HANSON, TOOLE & DIETRICH PLLP 100 North Park Avenue, Suite 300 P.O. Box 797 Helena, MT 59601-6263 (406) 449-4165

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MONTANA

Brian Sandoval Attorney General of the State of Nevada L. Timothy Terry Assistant Attorney General 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4714

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEVADA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I, Steve W. Berman, an attorney, caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing, OPPOSITION OF THE STATES OF NEVADA AND MONTANA TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THEIR EMERGENCY MOTION FOR AN ORDER HOLDING PLAINTIFFS IN CONTEMPT, FOR PRESERVATION OF POTENTIALLY RELEVANT DOCUMENTS, AND FOR AN ACCOUNTING OF SPOLIATED DOCUMENTS to be delivered to all counsel of record by electronic service pursuant to Paragraph 11 of the Case Management Order No. 2, by sending on January 11, 2006, a copy to LexisNexis File & Serve for Posting and notification to all parties.

By /s/ Steve W. Berman
Steve W. Berman
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 623-7292